Mary Ann Whipple
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**Dated: September 2 2026**

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| IN RE: | ) | Case No. 26-31894 |
| | ) | |
| LOURDES UNIVERSITY and | ) | CHAPTER 11 |
| LOURDES PROPERTIES, LLC, [1], | ) | |
| Jointly Administered Debtors-in-Possession | ) | Judge Mary Ann Whipple |

---

**ORDER AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO TRANSFER OPERATIONS AND GRANTS RELATED TO THE LIFELONG LEARNING AND THE UPWARD BOUND PROGRAMS**

---

Upon the motion (the "Motion")[2] filed by Lourdes University one of the jointly administered debtors and debtors-in-possession (the "Debtor"), by and through its proposed attorneys Cullen and Dykman LLP, pursuant to sections 105 and 363 of chapter 11 of title 11 of

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number are: Lourdes University (6547); and Lourdes Properties, LLC (8998). The location of the Debtors' principal place of business is 6832 Convent Blvd., Sylvania, Ohio 43560.

[2] Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532, et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules") approving a private Transaction (as defined in the Motion) with SOSF pursuant to the terms set forth in the Agreement attached as Exhibit A to the Motion[3]; and a hearing on the Motion having been held on September 2, 2026 (the "Hearing"); and good and sufficient notice of the Motion and Hearing having been given and no other or further notice being required; and objections, if any, having been overruled, withdrawn, or resolved:

THE COURT HEREBY MAKES THE FOLLOWING FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW:

A. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(a) and 1334.

B. The statutory predicates for the relief sought in the Motion and the basis for the approvals and authorizations contained in this Order are Bankruptcy Code sections 105 and 363, and Bankruptcy Rule 6004.

C. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A), (N) and (O).

D. Venue of this case and the Motion in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1408.

E. Sufficient notice of the relief sought in the Motion has been given and no other or further notice is required. A reasonable opportunity to object or be heard regarding the relief requested in the Motion has been afforded to interested persons and entities.

F. The Transaction is fair and reasonable and is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate and creditors.

[3] Defined terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

G. The liabilities to be assumed by SOSF under the Agreement and SOSF's commitment to immediately close and continue the Programs constitute adequate and fair value for the Program IP.

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, AND AFTER DUE CONSIDERATION AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. All objections with regard to the relief sought in the Motion that have not been withdrawn, waived, settled, or otherwise dealt with as expressly provided herein, and all reservation of rights included in such objections, are overruled on the merits with prejudice.

2. Pursuant to section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtor is authorized to enter into the Transaction and to transfer the Program IP and take any further actions necessary to transfer operation and control of the Programs to SOSF pursuant to the terms of the Agreement.

3. The Debtor is authorized to take all actions and execute all documents necessary or appropriate to effectuate the Transaction consistent with this Order.

4. SOSF shall not be deemed for any purpose to: (i) be a successor, continuation or alter ego (or other such similarly situated party) to the Debtor or its estates by reason of any theory of law or equity, including, without limitation, any bulk sales law, doctrine or theory of successor liability, or similar theory or basis of liability; or (ii) have, de facto or otherwise, merged with or into the Debtor; or (iii) be a mere continuation, alter ego, or substantial continuation of the Debtor.

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of this Order and to resolve any disputes concerning this Order.