

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:) Case No. 26-31894
)
LOURDES UNIVERSITY,) CHAPTER 11
)
Debtor-in-Possession.) Judge May Ann Whipple

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:) Case No. 26-31895
)
LOURDES PROPERTIES, LLC,) CHAPTER 11
)
Debtor-in-Possession.) Judge May Ann Whipple

**MOTION FOR ENTRY OF INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I)
PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING,
OR DISCONTINUING UTILITY SERVICES, (II) DETERMINING
ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY
SERVICES, (III) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING
ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT, AND
(IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Lourdes University and Lourdes Properties LLC, the above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the “Debtors”), by and through their proposed undersigned counsel, hereby submit this motion (the “Motion”) pursuant to sections 105(a) and 366 of title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Rules 6003 and 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules for the Northern District of Ohio (the “Local Rules”), for entry of interim and final orders, substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B** (respectively, the “Interim Order” and “Final

Order,” and collectively, the “Orders”), (a) prohibiting utility providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services; (b) determining adequate assurance of payment for future utility services; (c) establishing procedures for determining adequate assurance of payment for future utility services; and (d) granting related relief.

INTRODUCTION

1. On August 10, 2026 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief pursuant to chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

2. The Debtors remain in possession of their property and continue in the operation and management of their businesses as a debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. A Motion for Joint Administration of the Debtors’ cases has been filed on the Petition Date.

4. No official committee of unsecured creditors has been appointed by the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio in this chapter 11 case.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Ohio (the “Court”) has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

6. The statutory predicates for the relief sought herein are Bankruptcy Code sections 105(a) and 366, and Bankruptcy Rules 6003 and 6004.

BACKGROUND

7. Lourdes University, an Ohio nonprofit corporation (the “University”), has been a sponsored ministry of the Sisters of St. Francis, Sylvania Ohio (“SOSF”) for the past 68 years.

The University began as a Junior College, eventually becoming a College and later a University. Over the past ten years, the University has struggled financially and survived only by increasingly large financial contributions and loans from the SOSF. As the Sisters themselves have aged and their community has diminished in number, this financial burden has become untenable. Accordingly, Lourdes University is in the process of closing, and its insolvency cannot be mitigated or addressed other than through bankruptcy proceedings.

8. A full background of the events leading up to the filing of this case is set forth in the Declaration of Sister Nancy Linenkugel, OSF, DM filed on the Petition Date and is incorporated herein by reference.

I. The Utility Services

9. In connection with the operation of the University, the Debtors obtain, among other things, electricity, telephone, internet, and other similar services (collectively, the “Utility Services”) from utility providers (collectively, the “Utility Providers”). A nonexclusive list of the Utility Providers that provide Utility Services to the Debtor, Lourdes University, as of the Petition Date (the “Utility Providers List”) is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.¹ There are no Utility Services provided to Lourdes Properties LLC. There are, however, utility accounts (including Gas/Fuel and Water) in the name of, and billed to, the Sisters of Saint Francis of Toledo, OH (“SOSF”), the member of Lourdes University. Those accounts cover several entities within the SOSF umbrella. Lourdes University pays an estimated amount of their share of such utility bills monthly, with true-up at the end of the year. These Utility Providers are not included in **Exhibit C** because they are not subject to section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. To the extent such Utility

¹ Although **Exhibit C** is intended to be comprehensive, the Debtors may have inadvertently omitted one or more Utility Providers. By this Motion, the Debtors’ request relief applicable to all Utility Providers, regardless of whether such Utility Provider is specifically identified on **Exhibit C**.

Providers are determined to be subject to this Motion, the Debtors will treat them as a subsequently identified Utility Provider in the manner set forth in paragraph 17 below. The relief requested herein is sought with respect to all Utility Providers providing Utility Services to the Debtor.

10. Preserving Utility Services on an uninterrupted basis is essential to the winddown of the University and for the continued maintenance of the Debtors' real estate holdings. Should any Utility Provider refuse or discontinue service, even for a brief period, the Debtors' efforts would be severely disrupted, and such disruption would jeopardize its ability to administer this chapter 11 case, particularly since the sale of the real estate is integral to their chapter 11 efforts.

11. On average, the Debtors pay approximately \$35,841.40 each month for the Utility Services set forth on **Exhibit C**. Accordingly, the Debtors estimate that the cost for Utility Services during the next thirty (30) days (not including any deposits to be paid) will be approximately the same amount.

II. The Proposed Adequate Assurance and Adequate Assurance Procedures

12. The Debtors intend to continue to pay post-petition obligations to the Utility Providers in a timely manner. Cash held by the Debtors and cash available to the Debtors, including through proposed debtor-in-possession financing, will provide sufficient liquidity to pay the Debtors' Utility Service obligations in accordance with their prepetition practice, pending the sales of property.

13. To provide additional assurance of payment, the Debtors propose to deposit \$17920.70 into a segregated account (the "Adequate Assurance Deposit"), which represents an amount equal to approximately one-half of the Debtors' average monthly cost of Utility Services. The Adequate Assurance Deposit will be held in the segregated account for the benefit of the

Utility Providers (the “Adequate Assurance Account”) for the duration of this chapter 11 case and may be applied to any post-petition defaults in payment to the Utility Providers. The Adequate Assurance Deposit will be held by the Debtors; no liens will encumber the Adequate Assurance Deposit or the Adequate Assurance Account. The Debtors submit that the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in conjunction with the Debtors’ ability to pay for future Utility Services in accordance with their prepetition practices (collectively, the “Proposed Adequate Assurance”), constitutes sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility Providers in full satisfaction of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

14. The Debtors propose the following procedures (the “Adequate Assurance Procedures”) with respect to the Proposed Adequate Assurance:

- a. The Debtors will serve a copy of this Motion and the Order granting the relief requested herein to each Utility Provider within three (3) business days after entry of the Interim Order by the Court.
- b. Subject to paragraphs (e)-(h) herein, the Debtors will deposit the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$17,920.70, in the Adequate Assurance Account within five (5) business days after entry of the order granting this Motion.
- c. If an amount relating to Utility Services provided post-petition by a Utility Provider is unpaid, and remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period, such Utility Provider may request a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account by giving notice to: (i) counsel for the Debtors; (ii) counsel to the proposed DIP Lender; (iii) counsel to the trustee for the Pre-Petition Bondholders; (iv) counsel to Signature Bank; (v) counsel for any official committee appointed pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules or the Bankruptcy Code; (vi) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio (the “U.S. Trustee”); and (vii) all other persons that have formally appeared and requested notice or copies of pleadings filed in this case under Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (collectively, the “Notice Parties”). The Debtors shall honor such requests within five (5) business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility Provider to resolve any dispute regarding such requests without further order of the Court. To the extent a Utility Provider receives a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account, the Debtors shall replenish the Adequate Assurance Account in the amount disbursed.

- d. The portion of the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to each Utility Provider will be returned to the Debtors on the earlier of (i) reconciliation and payment by the Debtors of the Utility Provider's final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors' termination of Utility Services from such Utility Provider and (ii) the effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in these chapter 11 cases.
- e. Any Utility Provider desiring additional assurances of payment in the form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an "Additional Assurance Request") on the Notice Parties.
- f. Any Additional Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) identify the location for which Utility Services are provided; (iii) include information regarding any security deposits paid by the Debtors; (iv) identify the outstanding balance and payment history; (v) provide evidence that the Debtors have a direct obligation to the Utility Provider; and (vi) explain why the Utility Provider believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- g. An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time. If a Utility Provider fails to file and serve an Additional Assurance Request, the Utility Provider shall be (i) deemed to have received "satisfactory" adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- h. Upon the Debtors' receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall have twenty-one (21) days from the receipt of the Adequate Assurance Request (the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the Utility Provider to resolve the Utility Provider's Adequate Assurance Request. During the Resolution Period, Utility Providers may not terminate any of the services they provide to the Debtors on account of the bankruptcy filing of unpaid charges for prepetition services.
- i. Without further order of the Court, the Debtors may enter into agreements granting additional adequate assurance to a Utility Provider serving an Additional Assurance Request if the Debtors determine that the Additional Assurance Request is reasonable.
- j. If the Debtors determine, in their sole discretion, that the Additional Assurance Request is not reasonable and the Debtors are unable to reach an alternative resolution with the Utility Provider, the Debtors, during or immediately after the Resolution Period, may request a hearing (a "Determination Hearing") before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurance of payment with respect to that Utility Provider pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

- k. Pending resolution of such dispute at a Determination Hearing, the relevant Utility Provider will be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to the Debtors on account of: (i) unpaid charges for prepetition services; (ii) a pending Adequate Assurance Request; or (iii) any objections filed in response to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
 - l. At any time, the Debtors may terminate service from any Utility Provider, such termination being effective immediately upon the Debtors' notice to the Utility Provider. At such time, the Debtors shall no longer be required to make any more payments to such Utility Provider for any services provided after such termination, and any excess shall be returned forthwith.
15. The Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth a streamlined process for Utility Providers to address potential concerns with respect to the Proposed Adequate Assurance, while allowing the Debtors to administer these chapter 11 cases uninterrupted. The Adequate Assurance Procedures permit a Utility Provider to object to the Proposed Adequate Assurance by serving an Adequate Assurance Request upon the Notice Parties, and if an objection cannot be resolved, there is a mechanism for the Court to determine the issue.
16. Absent compliance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures, the Debtors request that the Utility Providers, including subsequently added Utility Providers, be forbidden from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance, pending entry of a final order approving the relief requested herein.

III. Subsequently Identified Utility Providers

17. To the extent the Debtors identify new Utility Providers not currently listed on **Exhibit C** attached hereto, the Debtors will serve such Utility Provider a copy of the Court's order regarding Utility Services, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures. The Debtors request that the terms of that order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures apply to any subsequently identified Utility Provider, provided, however, that any subsequently identified

Utility Provider shall be provided twenty (20) days from the date of service of the Court's order to object to its inclusion in such order. If an objection is received within such twenty (20) day period and cannot be resolved, a hearing shall be heard on such date as mutually agreed to by the parties and acceptable to the Court.

RELIEF REQUESTED

18. By this Motion, the Debtors seek entry of the Interim Order and Final Order, substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B** granting the relief sought herein.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

19. Section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code protects a debtor against the immediate termination or alteration of utility services after the Petition Date. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 366. Section 366(c)(2) requires a debtor to provide “adequate assurance” of payment for post-petition services in a form “satisfactory” to the utility provider within thirty (30) days of the Petition Date, or the utility provider may alter, refuse, or discontinue service. 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(2). Section 366(c)(1) enumerates what constitutes “assurance of payment.” 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(1). Although assurance of payment must be “adequate,” it need not constitute an absolute guarantee of a Debtors’ ability to pay. *See, e.g., Long Is. Lighting Co. v. The Great Atl. Pac. Tea Co., Inc. (In re Great Atl. & Pac. Tea Co., Inc.)*, No. 11-CV-1338, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131621, at *18 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 14, 2011) (finding that “[c]ourts will approve an amount that is adequate enough to insure against unreasonable risk of nonpayment, but are not required to give the equivalent of a guaranty of payment in full”); *In re Caldor, Inc.-NY*, 199 B.R. 1, 3 (S.D.N.Y. 1996), *aff’d sub nom., Va. Elec. & Power Co. v. Caldor, Inc.-NY*, 117 F.3d 646 (2d Cir. 1997) (“Section 366(b) requires . . . ‘adequate assurance’ of payment. The statute does not require an ‘absolute

guarantee of payment.”) (citation omitted); *In re Spencer*, 218 B.R. 290, 293 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 1998); *In re 499 West Warren Street Associates, L.P.*, 138 B.R. 363, 365 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1991) (finding that “the statute simply does not limit a utility’s right to adequate assurance on the basis of a Debtors’ pre-petition account status.”); *In re Marion Steel Co.*, 35 B.R. 188, 198 (Bankr. N.D. Ohio 1983) (recognizing the First Circuit’s view that “‘adequate assurance of payment’ does not require an absolute guarantee of payment.”) (quoting *Massachusetts Electric Co. v. Keydata Corp. (In re Keydata Corp.)*, 12 B.R. 156, 158 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1981)).

20. When considering whether a given assurance of payment is “adequate,” the Court should examine the totality of the circumstances to make an informed decision as to whether the Utility Provider will be subject to an unreasonable risk of nonpayment. *See Mass. Elec. Co. v. Keydata Corp. (In re Keydata Corp.)*, 12 B.R. 156, 158 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 1981) (citing *Va. Elec. And Power Co. v. Cunha (In re Cunha)*, 1 B.R. 330 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1979)); *In re Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc.*, 280 B.R. 63, 82-83 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002); *Marion Steel*, 35 B.R. at 198 (recognizing that ‘adequate assurance of payment’ requires “that the utility be protected from an unreasonable risk of nonpayment”) (quoting *Keydata*, 12 B.R. at 158)).

21. Courts have recognized that, in determining the requisite level of adequate assurance, “a bankruptcy court must focus upon the need of the utility for assurance, and . . . require that the debtor supply no more than that, since the debtor almost perforce has a conflicting need to conserve scarce financial resources.” *Va. Elec. & Power Co.*, 117 F.3d at 650 (internal quotations omitted); *see also Great Atl. & Pac. Tea Co.*, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 131621, at *22 (holding that no additional adequate assurance deposit was necessary where such deposit would impose an unreasonable burden on reorganizing debtor); *Marion Steel*, 35 B.R. at 198 (“The utility must be protected from an unreasonable risk of nonpayment. At the same time,

the terms of ‘adequate assurance of payment’ should not be ‘such a financial burden upon the debtors as to thwart or deter rehabilitation potential, and thereby be an unreasonable demand.’”) (*quoting Matter of RobMac, Inc.*, 8 B.R. 4, 6 (Bankr. N.D. Ga. 1979)). Accordingly, demands by a Utility Provider for a guarantee of payment should be refused when the Debtors’ specific circumstances already afford adequate assurance of payment.

22. Here, the Utility Providers are adequately assured against any risk of nonpayment for future services by the Adequate Assurance Deposit and the Debtors’ ongoing ability to meet obligations as they come due in the ordinary course. Moreover, termination of the Utility Services could result in the Debtors’ inability to effectively winddown their operations to the detriment of all stakeholders and would negatively impact the ability to sell the Debtors’ real estate. *Cf. In re Monroe Well Serv., Inc.*, 83 B.R. 317, 321-22 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988) (noting that without utility service, the debtor “would have to cease operations” and that section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code “was intended to limit the leverage held by utility companies, not increase it”). Courts are permitted to fashion reasonable procedures, such as the Adequate Assurance Procedures proposed herein, to implement the protections afforded under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code. *See, e.g., In re Circuit City Stores Inc.*, No. 08-35653, 2009 Bankr. LEXIS 237, at *16-17 (Bankr. E.D. Va. Jan. 14, 2009) (“The plain language of § 366 of the Bankruptcy Code allows the court to adopt the Procedures set forth in the Utility Order.”). Such procedures are important because, without them, the Debtor “could be forced to address numerous requests by utility companies in an unorganized manner at a critical period in their efforts to reorganize.” *Id.* at *19.

23. Here, notwithstanding a determination that the Debtors’ Proposed Adequate Assurance constitutes sufficient adequate assurance, any rights the Utility Providers believe they

have under sections 366(b) and 366(c)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code are wholly preserved under the Adequate Assurance Procedures. *See Id.* at *17. The Utility Providers still may choose, in accordance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures, to request modification of the Proposed Adequate Assurance. *See Id.* The Adequate Assurance Procedures, however, avoid a disorganized process whereby each Utility Provider could make a last-minute demand for adequate assurance that would force the Debtor to pay under the threat of losing critical Utility Services. *See Id.*

24. Because the Adequate Assurance Procedures are reasonable and in accord with the purposes of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Court should grant the relief requested herein. Similar procedures have been approved by courts in this circuit. *In re Grand River Infrastructure Inc.*, No. 11-35206, 2011 WL 6370623, at *1 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. Nov. 12, 2011); *In re Se. Tel., Inc.*, No. 09-70731, 2009 WL 10818701, at *1 (Bankr. E.D. Ky. Apr. 29, 2009); *In re Monroe Hosp., LLC*, No. 14-07417-JMC-11, 2014 WL 4105970, at *1 (Bankr. S.D. Ind. Aug. 12, 2014); *AmTrust Financial Corp., et al.*, Case No. 09-21323 (PMC) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio December 7, 2009) [Docket No. 45]; *Forum Health, et al.*, Case No. 09-40795 (KW) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio April 20, 2009) [Docket No. 186]; *Schwab Industries, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 10-60702 (RK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio March 5, 2010) [Docket No. 58]; *CEP Holdings, LLC, et al.*, Case No. 06-51848 (MSS) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio October 18, 2006) [Docket No. 154]; and *Nexpak Corp. et al.*, No. 04-63816 (RK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio July 19, 2004) [Docket No. 49].

25. Further, the Court possesses the power, under section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, to “issue *any* order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.” 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). The Adequate Assurance Procedures and the Proposed Adequate Assurance are necessary and appropriate to carry out the provisions of the

Bankruptcy Code, particularly section 366. Accordingly, the Court should exercise its powers under sections 366 and 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and approve both the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

THE REQUIREMENTS OF BANKRUPTCY RULE 6003 ARE SATISFIED

26. Bankruptcy Rule 6003 empowers a court to grant relief within the first twenty one (21) days after the Petition Date “to the extent that relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm.” For the reasons discussed above, preventing the interruption of Utility Services and granting the other relief requested herein is integral to the Debtors’ ability to winddown their operations and sell their real estate. Failure to receive such authorization and other relief during the first twenty-one (21) days of the chapter 11 cases would severely disrupt the Debtors’ ability to administer the estates at this critical juncture. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that they have satisfied the “immediate and irreparable harm” standard of Bankruptcy Rule 6003 to support granting the relief requested herein.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

27. Nothing contained in this Motion or any actions taken by the Debtors pursuant to relief granted in the Interim Order and Final Order is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any particular claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors’ rights to dispute any particular claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver or limitation of the Debtors’ rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law. If the Court grants the relief sought herein, any payment made pursuant to the Court’s order is not intended and

should not be construed as an admission as to the validity of any particular claim or a waiver of the Debtors' rights to subsequently dispute such claim.

WAIVER OF BANKRUPTCY RULE 6004(A) AND 6004(H)

28. To implement the foregoing successfully, the Debtors requests that the Court enter an order providing that notice of the relief requested herein satisfies Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and that the Debtors have established cause to exclude such relief from the 14-day stay period under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h). As set forth above, the payments proposed herein are essential to the Debtors' continued operations during the winddown. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that ample cause exists to justify a waiver of the fourteen (14) day stay imposed by Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), to the extent it applies.

NOTICE

29. Notice of this Motion has been given to (i) the Office of the U.S. Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio; (ii) each of the Debtors' twenty (20) largest unsecured creditors; (iii) counsel for Signature Bank; (iv) counsel for the Trustee for the Bondholders; (v) counsel for the proposed DIP Lender; (vi) any other party who has requested to receive notices in this case; and (vii) the Utility Providers. The Debtors submit that, under the circumstances, no other or further notice is required.

30. No previous application for the relief sought herein has been made to this or any other Court.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter the Interim Order and Final Order granting the relief requested herein, together with such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: Uniondale, New York
August 10, 2026

Cullen and Dykman LLP

By: /s/ Bonnie L. Pollack

Bonnie L. Pollack, Esq.

Matthew G. Roseman, Esq. (N. Dist. of Ohio Bar No.
NY2239903; NY Bar No. 2239903)

Bonnie L. Pollack, Esq. (N. Dist. of Ohio Bar No.
NY2306686; NY Bar No. 2306686)

Kyriaki Christodoulou, Esq. (N. Dist. of Ohio Bar No.
NY5856893; NY Bar No. 5856893)

333 Earle Ovington Boulevard, 2nd Floor

Uniondale, New York 11553

mroseman@cullenllp.com

bpollack@cullenllp.com

kchristodoulou@cullenllp.com

(516) 357-3700

-and-

Marshall & Melhorn, LLC

Henry J. Geha III, Esq. (Ohio Bar No. 0081713)

Four Seagate, 8th Floor

Toledo, Ohio 43604

(419) 249-7100

Geha@marshall-melhorn.com

*Proposed Attorneys for Lourdes University and Lourdes
Properties, LLC*

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Interim Order

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31894
	)	
LOURDES UNIVERSITY,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge May Ann Whipple

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31895
	)	
LOURDES PROPERTIES, LLC,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge May Ann Whipple

**INTERIM ORDER (I) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS
FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING UTILITY SERVICES, (II)
DETERMINING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY
SERVICES, (III) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING ADEQUATE
ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)¹ of Lourdes University and Lourdes Properties, LLC, debtors and debtors-in-possession (the “Debtors”), for entry of an interim order (this “Interim

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

Order”) pursuant to section 105(a) and 366 of title 11 of the United States Code, and Rules 6003 and 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules for the Northern District of Ohio (the “Local Rules”), (a) prohibiting Utility Providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services; (b) determining adequate assurance of payment for future Utility Services; (c) establishing procedures for determining adequate assurance of payment for future Utility Services; (d) granting related relief; and (e) scheduling a final hearing to consider approval of the Motion on a final basis, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon consideration of the Declaration of Sister Nancy Linenkugel, OSF, DM; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the relief being requested being in the best interests of the Debtors and their estates and creditors; and the Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at the hearing before the Court (the “Hearing”); and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis as set forth herein.
2. The final hearing (the “Final Hearing”) on the Motion shall be held on _____, 2026, at __:__ .m., prevailing _____ Time. Any objections or responses to

entry of a final order on the Motion shall be filed on or before 4:00 p.m., prevailing _____ time, on _____, 2026, and shall be served on: (i) proposed counsel for the Debtors; (ii) counsel for the proposed DIP Lender; (iii) counsel for the Trustee for the Bondholders; (iv) counsel for Signature Bank; (v) counsel for any official committee appointed pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules or the Bankruptcy Code; (vi) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio; and (vii) all other persons that have formally appeared and requested notice or copies of pleadings filed in this case under Bankruptcy Rule 2002.

3. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are hereby approved on an interim basis:

- a. The Debtors will serve a copy of this Motion and the Order granting the relief requested herein to each Utility Provider within three (3) business days after entry of the Interim Order by the Court.
- b. Subject to paragraphs (e)-(h) herein, the Debtors will deposit the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$17,920.70, in the Adequate Assurance Account within five (5) business days after entry of the order granting this Motion.
- c. If an amount relating to Utility Services provided post-petition by a Utility Provider is unpaid, and remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period, such Utility Provider may request a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account by giving notice to: (i) counsel for the Debtors; (ii) counsel to the proposed DIP Lender; (iii) counsel for the Pre-Petition Bondholders; (iv) counsel for any official committee appointed pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules or the Bankruptcy Code; (v) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio; and (vi) all other persons that have formally appeared and requested notice or copies of pleadings filed in this case under Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (collectively, the “Notice Parties”). The Debtors shall honor such request within five (5) business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility Provider to resolve any dispute regarding such request without further order of the Court. To the extent a Utility Provider receives a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account, the Debtors shall replenish the Adequate Assurance Account in the amount disbursed.
- d. The portion of the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to each Utility Provider will be returned to the Debtors on the earlier of (i) reconciliation and

payment by the Debtors of the Utility Provider's final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors' termination of Utility Services from such Utility Provider and (ii) the effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in this chapter 11 case.

- e. Any Utility Provider desiring additional assurances of payment in the form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an "Additional Assurance Request") on the Notice Parties.
- f. Any Additional Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) identify the location for which Utility Services are provided; (iii) include information regarding any security deposits paid by the Debtors; (iv) identify the outstanding balance and payment history; (v) provide evidence that the Debtors have a direct obligation to the Utility Provider; and (vi) explain why the Utility Provider believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- g. An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time. If a Utility Provider fails to file and serve an Additional Assurance Request, the Utility Provider shall be (i) deemed to have received "satisfactory" adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- h. Upon the Debtors' receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall have twenty-one (21) days from the receipt of the Adequate Assurance Request (the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the Utility Provider to resolve the Utility Provider's Adequate Assurance Request. During the Resolution Period, Utility Providers may not terminate any of the services they provide to the Debtors on account of the bankruptcy filing of unpaid charges for prepetition services.
- i. Without further order of the Court, the Debtors may enter into agreements granting additional adequate assurance to a Utility Provider serving an Additional Assurance Request if the Debtors determine that the Additional Assurance Request is reasonable.
- j. If the Debtors determine, in their sole discretion, that the Additional Assurance Request is not reasonable and the Debtors are unable to reach an alternative resolution with the Utility Provider, the Debtors, during or immediately after the Resolution Period, may request a hearing (a "Determination Hearing") before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurance of payment with respect to that Utility Provider pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

k. Pending resolution of such dispute at a Determination Hearing, the relevant Utility Provider will be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to the Debtors on account of: (i) unpaid charges for prepetition services; (ii) a pending Adequate Assurance Request; or (iii) any objections filed in response to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

l. At any time, the Debtors may terminate service from any Utility Provider, such termination being effective immediately upon the Debtors' notice to the Utility Provider. At such time, the Debtors shall no longer be required to make any more payments to such Utility Provider for any services provided after such termination, and any excess shall be returned forthwith.

4. The Utility Providers are prohibited from requiring additional adequate assurance of payment other than pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.

5. The Debtors will cause a copy of this Interim Order, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures, to be served on any subsequently identified Utility Provider and any such Utility Provider shall be bound by the Adequate Assurance Procedures, provided, however, that any subsequently identified Utility Provider shall be provided twenty (20) days from the date of service of the Court's order to object to its inclusion in such order. If an objection is received within such twenty (20) day period and cannot be resolved, a hearing shall be heard on such date as mutually agreed to by the parties and acceptable to the Court.

6. Notwithstanding the relief granted in this Interim Order and any actions taken pursuant to such relief, nothing in this Interim Order shall be deemed: (a) an admission as to the validity of any particular claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' rights to dispute any particular claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver or limitation of the Debtors' rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

7. Any and all payments authorized to be made pursuant to this Interim Order shall be subject to and made only to the extent authorized under any interim or final orders governing the Debtors' use of cash collateral and terms of Debtor-in-Possession financing, including any Budget in connection therewith.

8. The findings and rulings in this Interim Order are without prejudice to the rights of any party in interest in connection with any further interim or final order on the Motion.

9. The contents of the Motion satisfy the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b).

10. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

11. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), the terms and conditions of this Interim Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

12. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Interim Order in accordance with the Motion.

13. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Interim Order.

EXHIBIT B

Proposed Final Order

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31894
	)	
LOURDES UNIVERSITY,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge May Ann Whipple

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31895
	)	
LOURDES PROPERTIES, LLC,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge May Ann Whipple

**FINAL ORDER (I) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS
FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING UTILITY SERVICES, (II)
DETERMINING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY
SERVICES, (III) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING ADEQUATE
ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Upon the motion (the “Motion”)¹ of Lourdes University and Lourdes Properties, LLC, as debtors and debtors-in-possession (the “Debtors”), for entry of a final order (this “Final Order”)

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

pursuant to section 105(a) and 366 of title 11 of the United States Code, and Rules 6003 and 6004 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules for the Northern District of Ohio (the “Local Rules”), (a) prohibiting Utility Providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services; (b) determining adequate assurance of payment for future Utility Services; (c) establishing procedures for determining adequate assurance of payment for future Utility Services; (d) granting related relief; and (e) scheduling a final hearing to consider approval of the Motion on a final basis, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon consideration of the Declaration of Sister Nancy Linenkugel, OSF, DM; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and consideration of the Motion and the relief requested therein being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided; and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the relief being requested being in the best interests of the Debtors and their estates and creditors; and the Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at the hearing before the Court (the “Hearing”); and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor; IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on a final basis as set forth herein.
2. The Debtors shall serve a copy of this Final Order on any Utility Provider identified prior to the entry of this Final Order no later than three (3) business days after the date this Final Order is entered.

3. All Utility Providers are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, the commencement of these chapter 11 cases, or any perceived inadequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

4. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are hereby approved on a final basis:

- a. The Debtors will serve a copy of this Motion and the Order granting the relief requested herein to each Utility Provider within three (3) business days after entry of the Final Order by the Court.
- b. Subject to paragraphs (e)-(h) herein, the Debtors will deposit the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$17,920.70, in the Adequate Assurance Account within five (5) business days after entry of the order granting this Motion.
- c. If an amount relating to Utility Services provided post-petition by a Utility Provider is unpaid, and remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period, such Utility Provider may request a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account by giving notice to: (i) counsel for the Debtors; (ii) counsel for any official committee appointed pursuant to the Bankruptcy Rules or the Bankruptcy Code; (iii) counsel to the proposed DIP Lender; (iv) counsel to Signature Bank; (v) the Office of the United States Trustee for the Northern District of Ohio; and (vi) all other persons that have formally appeared and requested notice or copies of pleadings filed in this case under Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (collectively, the “Notice Parties”). The Debtors shall honor such request within five (5) business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility Provider to resolve any dispute regarding such request without further order of the Court. To the extent a Utility Provider receives a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account, the Debtors shall replenish the Adequate Assurance Account in the amount disbursed.
- d. The portion of the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to each Utility Provider will be returned to the Debtors on the earlier of (i) reconciliation and payment by the Debtors of the Utility Provider’s final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors’ termination of Utility Services from such Utility Provider and (ii) the effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in the chapter 11 cases.
- e. Any Utility Provider desiring additional assurances of payment in the form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an “Additional Assurance Request”) on the Notice Parties.

- f. Any Additional Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) identify the location for which Utility Services are provided; (iii) include information regarding any security deposits paid by the Debtors; (iv) identify the outstanding balance and payment history; (v) provide evidence that the Debtors have a direct obligation to the Utility Provider; and (vi) explain why the Utility Provider believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- g. An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time. If a Utility Provider fails to file and serve an Additional Assurance Request, the Utility Provider shall be (i) deemed to have received “satisfactory” adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- h. Upon the Debtors’ receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall have twenty-one (21) days from the receipt of the Adequate Assurance Request (the “Resolution Period”) to negotiate with the Utility Provider to resolve the Utility Provider’s Adequate Assurance Request. During the Resolution Period, Utility Providers may not terminate any of the services they provide to the Debtor on account of the bankruptcy filing of unpaid charges for prepetition services.
- i. Without further order of the Court, the Debtors may enter into agreements granting additional adequate assurance to a Utility Provider serving an Additional Assurance Request if the Debtors determine that the Additional Assurance Request is reasonable.
- j. If the Debtors determine, in their sole discretion, that the Additional Assurance Request is not reasonable and the Debtors are unable to reach an alternative resolution with the Utility Provider, the Debtors, during or immediately after the Resolution Period, may request a hearing (a “Determination Hearing”) before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurance of payment with respect to that Utility Provider pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- k. Pending resolution of such dispute at a Determination Hearing, the relevant Utility Provider will be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to the Debtors on account of: (i) unpaid charges for prepetition services; (ii) a pending Adequate Assurance Request; or (iii) any objections filed in response to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

1. At any time, the Debtors may terminate service from any Utility Provider, such termination being effective immediately upon the Debtors' notice to the Utility Provider. At such time, the Debtors shall no longer be required to make any more payments to such Utility Provider for any services provided after such termination, and any excess shall be returned forthwith.

5. The Debtors will cause a copy of this Final Order, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures, to be served on any subsequently identified Utility Provider and any such Utility Provider shall be bound by the Adequate Assurance Procedures, provided, however, that any subsequently identified Utility Provider shall be provided twenty (20) days from the date of service of the Court's order to object to its inclusion in such order. If an objection is received within such twenty (20) day period and cannot be resolved, a hearing shall be heard on such date as mutually agreed to by the parties and acceptable to the Court.

6. Notwithstanding the relief granted in this Final Order and any actions taken pursuant to such relief, nothing in this Interim Order shall be deemed: (a) an admission as to the validity of any particular claim against the Debtors; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' rights to dispute any particular claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver or limitation of the Debtors' rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

7. Any and all payments authorized to be made pursuant to this Final Order shall be subject to and made only to the extent authorized under any interim or final orders governing the Debtors' use of cash collateral and terms of Debtor-in-Possession financing, including any Budget in connection therewith.

8. The contents of the Motion satisfy the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6003(b).

9. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and Rule 9013-1 of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

10. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), the terms and conditions of this Final Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

11. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Final Order in accordance with the Motion.

12. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Final Order.

EXHIBIT C

Utility Providers List

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31894
	)	
LOURDES UNIVERSITY,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge Mary Ann Whipple

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE:	)	Case No. 26-31895
	)	
LOURDES PROPERTIES, LLC,	)	CHAPTER 11
	)	
Debtor-in-Possession.	)	Judge Mary Ann Whipple

**AMENDED EXHIBIT C LIST OF UTILITY PROVIDERS TO MOTION
FOR ENTRY OF INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) PROHIBITING
UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR
DISCONTINUING UTILITY SERVICES, (II) DETERMINING
ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY
SERVICES, (III) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING
ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT, AND
(IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF [Dkt. No. 9]**

Utility Providers (Lourdes University)

#	Name of Utility Provider	Address	Services Provided	Last Four Digits of Account Number	Average Monthly Bill
1	Momentum Telecom	1200 Corporate Drive Ste 300 Birmingham AL 35242	Telephone	8200	\$5,801.16
2	Time Warner/Spectrum	Charter Communications PO Box 223085 Pittsburgh, PA 15251-2085	Internet	4001 9701	\$920.24 \$1,200.00
3	Toledo Edison/ Dynergy Energy Service	PO Box 371422 Pittsburgh, PA 15250	Electric	110138157521 110138175580 110120090904 110118083317 110113054453 110111437957 110070065153 110105801291 210000795000 210001267009 210000795034 210000795026 210000709001 210000705009 210000704002 210000795018 210000709027 210001267017 210000709019 210000795042 210000936018	\$50.00 \$40.00 \$100.00 \$60.00 \$7,250.00 \$350.00 \$350.00 \$100.00 \$650.00 \$1,230.00 \$650.00 \$900.00 \$550.00 \$1,200.00 \$580.00 \$600.00 \$900.00 \$1,500.00 \$3,300.00 \$3,000.00 \$1,300.00
4	Columbia Gas	P O Box 4629 Carol Stream, IL 60197	Gas	175221410120002 186185290010006 186185300010003 186202700010001 186202700040008 186780580390009 186780580410005 186780580420004 186780580430003 186780580470009	\$1,400.00 \$2,100.00 \$650.00 \$150.00 \$150.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00

				186780580510003 186780580540000 186780580550009 186780580640008 186780580650007 186780580660006 186780580670005 186780580680004 186780580690003 186780580720008 186780580730007 186780580740006 186780580760004 186780580770003 186780580800008 186780580810007 186780580840004 186780580850003 187960810010001 187960810030009	\$70.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$70.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$70.00 \$60.00 \$70.00 \$60.00 \$60.00 \$70.00 \$80.00 \$80.00 \$90.00 \$70.00
5	Buckeye Broadband	P O BOX 10027 Toledo, OH 43699	Internet	3366 3341	\$1,164.31 \$147.97
6	Republic Services	PO Box 71068 Charlotte, NC 28272	Waste Bin Pickup	9899	\$2,500.00
7	City of Sylvania – Utilities	6730 Monroe St Sylvania, OH 43560-1994	Water/Sewer	444-05120-02 444-07301-01 444-00129-03 444-00128-03 444-00203-03 444-00100-03 444-00127-03 444-00603-03 444-00481-03 444-00471-03 444-00480-03 444-00489-03	\$25.00 \$250.00 \$270.00 \$770.00 \$665.00 \$430.00 \$660.00 \$770.00 \$400.00 \$500.00 \$700.00 \$450.00
8	Verizon Wireless	PO Box 16810 Newark, NJ 07101	Telephone	381884574-00001	\$1,000.00
9	Republic Services (Rebilled from the Sisters of Saint Francis)	PO Box 71068 Charlotte, NC 28272	Waste Bin Pickup	3113	\$1,000.00

Utility Providers (Lourdes Properties, LLC)

#	Name of Utility Provider	Address	Services Provided	Last Four Digits of Account Number	Average Monthly Bill
1	City of Sylvania – Division of Utilities	6730 Monroe St Sylvania, OH 43560	Water/Sewer/Refuse	444-00251-03 444-90059-10 444-90059-09 444-90059-12 444-90059-11 444-00270-03 444-00269-03 444-00268-03 444-00267-03 444-00266-03 444-00265-03 444-00264-03 444-00263-03 444-00262-03 444-00261-03 444-00260-03 444-00259-03 444-00258-03 444-00257-03 444-00256-03 444-00255-03 444-00254-03 444-00253-03 444-00252-03	\$75.00 \$15.00 \$15.00 \$15.00 \$15.00 \$112.00 \$136.00 \$205.00 \$32.00 \$52.00 \$64.00 \$53.00 \$77.00 \$113.00 \$29.00 \$81.00 \$31.00 \$26.00 \$95.00 \$27.00 \$66.00 \$57.00 \$129.00 \$53.00
2	Columbia Gas	P.O. Box 4629 Carol Stream, IL 60197	Gas	186780580930003 186780580910005	\$95.00 \$91.00
3	Toledo Edison	P.O. Box 371422 Pittsburgh, PA 15250	Electric	110069943659 110117346046 110098175794 110151797310 110165928505 110179388878	\$276.00 \$75.00 \$73.00 \$61.00 \$82.00 \$4.00